

Agenda for Secondary Inflow Method Meeting

April 10, 2023

2:00pm Eastern Start Time

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 248-434-3387,,722340413#](#) United States, Pontiac

Phone Conference ID: 722 340 413#

1. Welcome, reading of antitrust statement
2. In order items, as time permits

Planned discussion time – 1 min	Action Motion and acceptance of Previous Meeting Summary Motion and acceptance of today's agenda
49i176 – Secondary Inflow Method Planned discussion time – up to 80 min	Progress Update <ul style="list-style-type: none">• This TG discussed the origins and intent of this issue paper during the previous teleconference.• Action items included 3 main areas:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Cary and Leah to set up meeting with the field certifiers during the CETA meeting in April○ The group at large would develop a survey of questions to ask the field certifiers regarding the current measurement status of the inflow method.○ Steve to develop initial performance criteria language with a name like: "validation of manufacturers data"• Regarding the CETA 2023 steering committee, timing has been set for Saturday April 22 from 5:30 to 6:30 with call in details below: <hr/> Microsoft Teams meeting Join on your computer, mobile app or room device Click here to join the meeting Meeting ID: 244 575 561 823 Passcode: sEHWXH Download Teams Join on the web Or call in (audio only) +1 248-434-3387,,460831616# United States, Pontiac (833) 465-1655,,460831616# United States (Toll-free) Phone Conference ID: 460 831 616#

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regarding the development of survey questions, the list of questions has been developed: Face velocity questionnaire questions, including feedback - 2023-03-29.docx Regarding the development of initial performance criteria, Steve completed this with the link here: Draft Performance Criteria - SW - 2023-04-07.docx <p>Questions to ask during today's meeting:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do we want to tweak the process outlined here in any ways? 2. How much, if any of this should be written into the standard? 3. Should the standard make the inflow secondary method test optional? (If we are running the test but not validating, we see this as taking their money without giving them anything of value). <p>Other Support documents: RE_DIM wall interference.pdf 0 inches from wall.JPG 6 inches from wall.JPG 12 inches from wall.JPG</p> <p>Original issue paper and ballot results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BSC-2023-01 - Secondary Inflow Method.pdf 49i175r1 - Secondary Inflow Method - JC memo and Ballot.pdf 49i175r1 - Secondary Inflow Method - Comments.pdf <p>Second issue paper replacing the first</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BSC-2023-02 - Secondary Inflow Method 2.pdf <p>Action:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss the survey questions and how the questionnaire should be executed Discuss the draft performance criteria Determine next steps
--	--

Next meeting: TBD